

ICSA BUDGET SUB-COMMITTEE

LOCATION: GoToMeeting

DATE: Monday, 4/20/09

TIME: 1:00 – 4:00 pm

ATTENDANCE: David Barnes, Bethany Gadzinski, Norma Jaeger, Kathy Skippen, Gayle Hines, Debbie Field, Terry Pappin, Sharon Burke, Matt McCarter, Ike Kimball, Scott Johnson, Scott Ronan, Nathan Drashner

CHAIRPERSON: Kathy Skippen

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Approval of Minutes		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Matt made a motion to accept the minutes, Terry seconded the motion. Minutes were approved as submitted.
<i>Outcome Snapshot – Ike Kimball</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> On track for expenditure burn rate. Adult burn rate is at 61% of budget with 75% completion of the fiscal year adolescent budget is at 91.89% and is being offset by the underutilization of adult treatment. Within the last month some of the Medicaid Federal budget was reduced as a result of our needed wrap-up, in order for the state plan amendment to be approved through Medicaid Services. This provided time to get the Maintenance Understanding Agreement between the Division of Behavioral Health and the Medicaid program up and running. This reduction shows the budget amounts to reflect our understanding of the Medicaid funds that were appropriated to it. The remaining budget for fiscal year 2009 could be spent out at 2.4M/month but it was recommended to spend at a 2.1 pace with the balance being carried over. There are expenditure differences between the numbers being provided to the courts from DHW and the numbers shown on the snapshot using BPA numbers for the Problem Solving 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Ike Kimball and Laurie Hancock will analyze what the changes will be in the monthly treatment allocation to accommodate the 2.2M funding reduction in the 2010 appropriation. Norma Jaeger, Bethany Gadzinski, Kathy Skippen, and Sharon Burke will be meet to examine the expenditure discrepancies between IDHW and the drug court budget.

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>Court Service category.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mental health court participants are receiving SUD treatment with funding through drug courts and this treatment that was not originally covered for within this budget. There needs to be discussion regarding how to fund recovery support services and residential treatment for this population of clients. • DHW is working with BPA to create a report identifying why the current 1300 ineligible were screened out of further services. This information is needed to show need when establishing the 2011 budget. • The recommendation was made that the May Budget Sub-Committee agenda include time to allocate the 2010 appropriation for treatment. It was recommended that we do a GoToMeeting to review the budget as soon as the appropriation numbers become available before the recommendation goes to ICSA. 	
<i>Output Report – David Barnes for Laurie Hancock</i>	By using the same data source and the same figures that BPA reports for financials and number of clients in treatment, we're building a companion report which will explain the Outcome Snapshot. The Department is beginning to receive detailed numbers by target population. As we move forward our terminations and length of stay metrics will come in line with the financials presented in the Outcome Snapshot.	
<i>Waitlist /Bethany Gadzinski</i>	There are 261 individuals on the waiting list, 5 of which are adolescent. 219 are in an alternate level of care leaving 42 waiting without treatment of some kind.	
<i>Ineligibles – Bethany Gadzinski</i>	DHW is working on a spec with BPA to provide a report that will show clinically and financially ineligible clients.	
<i>ICSA Grant Submission Policy – Kathy Skippen</i>	The EOC asked that the grant submission policy be re-drafted to allow a grant request to go to either the BSC or the EOC. The previously reviewed policy was	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Norma moved that the BSC send the revised grant submission policy to ICSA with a positive recommendation for passage. Bethany

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	reconsidered with these revisions.	seconded the motion. The motion was passed.
<i>Juvenile Intervention Services – Bethany Gadzinski</i>	H&W will possibly be requesting money for intervention services for FY10 that would expand what we are currently doing with Benchmark, instead of attempting to create a new network under BPA. We are seeing good results in interventions with Benchmark. We have identified Benchmark in a line item in the budget but haven't put money to it because we were waiting on the plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to add Juvenile Intervention Services to the next agenda for the next Budget Sub-Committee to discuss what to do with the FY10 money.
<i>2010 Appropriation Update – Kathy Skippen/Bethany Gadzinski</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 50K will be taken out of the prevention budget to put into an underage drinking media campaign. Excluding the prevention fund, the funds available for treatment and recovery support services are \$23,730,600., monthly figures would be \$1,977,550. The time lapse between issuing a voucher and actual payment to BPA is approximately 90 days. H&W still has about 1.8M in one-time millennium treatment funds. 	
<i>2011 Preliminary Budget Request Possibilities –</i> a) <i>For initial consideration/discussion</i> b) <i>To forewarn DHW of what DU requests may be needed</i> c) <i>What meetings need to be arranged between DHW and partner agencies to work out details?</i> d) <i>What information needs to be ready for presentation at our May meeting?</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Idaho Courts for 2011 would like the opportunity to grow drug court treatment for individuals who otherwise would be going to prison. Individuals in drug court need the assurance of not facing prison but rather have a level of treatment based on ASAM and demonstrated needs that will keep them managed in the community. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - There is a need to meet the substance abuse treatment needs of 75-90% of individuals in mental health courts who have co-occurring disorders, which requires a little more than residential and recovery support. - Idaho Courts are looking at the average cost of a participant for a full range of 	When departments have a more solid picture of what they will be asking for treatment they need to arrange meetings with DHW for how the DU needs to be written to address funding needed and how and where services would be provided.

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>substance abuse treatment. No dollar figure has been assessed, but this money would allow the same range of services that are available to other substance abuse populations for those in drug court.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased treatment will have a major effect on IDOC's budget. • ODP has money for GAIN and WITS. We need to discuss the possibility of moving that money to the H&W budget. 	
<p><i>How to separate BSC/EOC issues – Kathy Skippen</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There was discussion regarding how to clearly define the responsibilities of the two committees. • Because most of the same people serve on both committees it was suggested that they be combined and carve out specific times for the budget to be the priority. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add this item to the EOC agenda to, possibly combine the two meetings.
<p><i>Agenda Additions – Kathy Skippen</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The calendar year for FY11 decision unit planning will begin right after the legislative session ends. • The review is still needed for the waitlist and courts. Data needs to be tracked, cost analyzed for those coming out of paroles vs. staying in. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Need FY11 decision unit planning calendars from H&W submitted in May and fairly firmed by June. - A strategy discussion for submitting proposals is needed for mental health. - DHW has a timeline that adheres to their DU's; they will add to that, there is another level of review for these decision units. At the next meeting members can then adjust the calendar as they see fit. The calendar will be shared at the next ICSA meeting. - DU's will be on the agenda for the April 29th ICSA meeting. The discussion will be on the 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bethany Gadzinski's department to come up with a decision unit planning calendar timeline of what needs to be submitted in May and what needs to be pretty solid by June. • Bethany Gadzinski, Sara Stover and Amy Castro need to meet to discuss the strategy for what proposals to submit for the mental health. • Ike Kimball will send Debbie Field DHW's DU calendar.

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	strategy of pursuing additional funds for various agencies to get a coordinated budget.	
<i>Adjourned</i>		Bethany made a motion to adjourn, Norma seconded the motion. Meeting adjourned.