

INTERAGENCY EXECUTIVE OPERATIONS COMMITTEE ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE PREVENTION AND TREATMENT

LOCATION: ODP Conference Room

DATE: Friday, 9/04/09

TIME: 8:00 am

ATTENDANCE: Kathy Skippen, Sarah Woodley, Scott Ronan, Gayle Hines, Jane McClaran, Shane Evans, Norma Jaeger, Sharon Burke, Bev Ashton, Ann Cronin, Bev Ashton, Matt McCarter

CHAIRPERSON: Debbie Field – Administrator

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
Minutes	Shane Evans made the motion to accept the minutes. Sarah seconded the motion. Motion carried.	
Substance Abuse Treatment Coordinating Committee (SATCC) Issues, Kathy Skippen	<p>Waitlist:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The waitlist is up, adolescents are 117, and adults are 1118 for a total of 1235. <p>Census:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adult census at the end of May was 4,085, end of June 3919, end of July 3142, September 3rd was 3215 which averages around 3100 adults in treatment. <p>Regional Model:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Need to create a sub-group to develop a model that is regionally-based as a good solid framework with ground rules for adolescent treatment. 1) Need to ask IAC administrator groups to develop a workgroup of seven; one from each district. 2) The plan would be for EOC to host a strategic plan to bring those people in and provide the background, direction, model components and have people at the table who can speak of what the courts are doing, what H&W is doing, and what the contract parameters are for BPA. This model could be used for the misdemeanor population as well. The groups could be used to monitor the treatment budgets as well. <p>Medicaid:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The group discussed utilization of Medicaid and agreed the policy should be: Medicaid only gives you immediate access to those services which are covered by Medicaid, other services that may be needed will be assigned based on the waiting list or other census management policies (includes residential). Norma Jaeger made the motion, Bev Ashton seconded it. Motion carried. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Add the report on how we are doing with our budget to ICSA agenda for October 28th. Sharon Burke will propose the idea to IACIJA and IACMPAA.
Budget and Census Management, Sharon Burke	<p>Current Expenditure Data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> BPA is redoing the entire population that is part of their new census and spending reports. The rules for population still need to be modified. BPA is modifying how they capture the data. 	

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
<p>Holdback</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion with Bob Ball, IYR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sharon Burke presented the FY09 and July FY10 expenditure data. She also posed possible options for budget reductions in the event of a holdback. Possible recommendations to ICSA, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - take reductions across the board, knowing that reallocation is more important than ever. - manage the population before they touch the system, - look across the board percentage-wise, possibly have those who are responsible for (drug courts, mental health courts, felony population, adolescents) to look at cuts, recognizing the need for 2520i, 1925-24 to shore up where is needed from budgets that were able to manage or be more targeted. - the possibility if we are going to access state funded substance abuse you will at minimum have a state approved short-screen tool built in to your process, which also includes the misdemeanor system. Look what is needed to adopt a short screener as our core screening tool for the GAIN-I. We can adopt it easily and quickly. The concept of regional representatives discussed earlier could be utilized to identify who has priority in the system (since we lack money) and we can then regionalize it out and communicate to let them know how much money we have, let districts know how much, goes to everyone that does a SS. We can then pull that report showing we served this many and here is the need. What would it cost for us to carve out money to be sure everybody that touches are system has access to WITS? (need to make sure we are on the same system, no duplication). <p>Budget Scenarios for Holdback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The holdback scenario gives us good exploratory information and we are looking at the impact of each budget area. When the actual holdback percent is decided, ICSA will have a more in-depth discussion. 	
<p>Outcome and Evaluation Plans – Scott Ronan</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review proposal • Follow-up Survey – Written Plan and Costs – Sarah Woodley • MOU on Data Sharing • Housing Services Support 	<p>Outcome and Evaluation Plans:</p> <p>Scott Ronan presented the proposed evaluation plan:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) EOC to Review Plan: The proposal defines and formalizes an evaluation plan for the substance use disorder system. The plan proposes process evaluation for each population and outcome evaluation for the entire system. The group’s response for each population will define their process evaluation elements based on general direction from the plan. 2) Outputs Report: Outcomes are short-term and long-term with budgets, trends, numbers in and numbers out, length of stay on a month-to-month basis. We are looking at employment, drug testing, treatment retention, performance measures. We need to educate legislatures which populations are doing better. Use follow-up surveys. How many data systems? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet as a sub-committee as an “EOC Evaluation Plan” before our next EOC meeting with this group to focus on the evaluation piece. Bring in Cost Ratio Data, number of clients served, discharge, comparisons, curriculum or no curriculum, craft analysis, other surrounding state analysis, regional breakdowns. Once a draft is developed, invite Amy Johnson and Kathy Holland-Smith.

TOPIC	DISCUSSION	ACTION
	<p>3) Cost: Cost benefit per population is the goal and is tied to criminal recidivism and treatment follow-up. All of us supply information which produces the MOU. How do we start using these globally?</p> <p>a) The overall picture is ODP's ability to present the clear picture which includes discussion of suppression activities that is a part of this continuum. Suppression activities involve ISP and their municipal partners in suppression prevention intervention/treatment and support services.</p> <p>Housing Services Support: Norma Jaeger updated the members on a discussion held in a prior meeting between IDHW and the Courts regarding supported housing services. Currently, providers of these services are reimbursed on a per day basis. At times, the clients stay is interrupted for jail time or medical reasons. The provider often keeps the space available for the client but is not able to bill the state for reimbursement. Shane Evans commented that IDOC pays per month to these providers to assist with financial stability. Kathy Skippen and Norma Jaeger agreed to develop a policy for presentation to ICSA that will allow some short-term interruption in stay for clients without impacting reimbursement to the housing provider.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ODP to get signatures for the MOU to ICSA. • Have the Evaluation Plan Workgroup finalize a plan on September 25th at 12:00pm - 4:00 pm. Things to consider are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - What are the data elements that we have? - Number of clients served, level of care, discharge, Peter Collins' Cost Benefit Analysis, needs to have comparisons (somehow) and what do they represent? - Is there other surrounding state information? Come up with a ratio. - Show how awesome we are compared to the rest of the nation. Unmet need is always a nice thing to have. - Show regional breakdowns. • Kathy Skippen and Norma Jaeger to develop a policy for presentation to ICSA that will allow some short-term interruption in stay for clients without impacting reimbursement to the housing provider.
<p><i>Meeting adjourned.</i></p>	<p>Our next meeting will be Friday, October 2nd.</p>	